

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/303,356	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BACHMANN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Baoquoc N. To	<b>Art Unit</b> 2162	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) Baoquoc N. To.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Gerald Glanzman, Reg. 25,035.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 June 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

101

**Claims discussed:**

9, 11, 14-16, 19

**Prior art documents discussed:**


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner found number relevant art in the updated search which read on the claims 9, 16 and 19 for example patent 5,88,1241, 6,772,179, 7,089,532, 5,778,378 and 6,671,705 disclose "Lazy deletion" which allow the record to be tagged and during the delete during the garbage collection mode, patent 6,570,877, 5,581,724, 3,585,601,6,112,209 disclose the method of marking for later deletion or cleanup and 7,024,430 discloses the method synchronization between the device database using record marking for deletion by setting the corresponding syn time to zero or by updating the mod time according to the current date/time of the system clock The examiner contacts the applicant representative, Mr. Glanzman to propose an amendment by incorporating the language "wherein the directory entry is tagged by setting its creation time to a given value" and "wherein the directory service is a Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) directory service and the database is managed by a relational management service" to claims 9, 16 and 19 to further distinguish from the found prior arts and cancel claim 14. Mr. Glanzman agrees with the proposed amendment and authorizes the changes to be made in the examiner amendment.